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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

In this work, we are going to consider the damped wave equation, which can be written as follow:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where, $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)$ initial data are taken in the phase space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega), \Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $C^{1}$ function and $a: \quad \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous non-negative function that satisfies for some positive constant $a_{0}: a \geq a_{0}$ on some non-empty open set of $\Omega$ that we are going to denote later by $\omega$.
The term $a(x) g\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ reprents the feedback, and it is a damping in our case, the function $a$ is what we call the damping coefficient and it defines the region $\omega$ in which the feedback is active.


The goal is to study the stability of our problem, under which conditions (on $g$ and $a$ ) we have the convergence of tragectories starting from $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)$ to 0 (the unique equilibrium state of the problem). To do so, we start by defining the energy of the system by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{\prime 2}\right) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will be studying exponential stability through exponential decay of the energy.
Dafermos [3] and Haraux [4] proved the strong stabilization in the case of an increasing $g$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} E(t)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the proof is based on Lasalle invariance principle and we can refer to [1] for its details.
Hence, based on their work we know that the energy goes to 0 as $t$ goes to infinity, the goal now is to obtain more information about the type of the decay, particularly, the exponential decay.
Two methods have been developed to study exponential decay of the damped wave equation:

The method of geometric optics: based on microlocal analysis, gives necessary and sufficient conditions on the damping domain $\omega$ for exponential stability, these conditions are not explicit but they allow us to get energy decay estimates under very general hypotheses, we are not interested in this method here as it is more adapted to the linear problems.

The multiplier method: it is based on energy estimates and Gronwall's inequalities, it gives explicit sufficient geometric conditions on $\omega$, it consists on taking the main equation of the problem and multiplying it by different quantities called multipliers. Each one of these multipliers will play a role and they will lead when combined in the end, to energy estimates which will prove potential exponential stability through Gronwall's inequalities. There exists a generalization of this method called the piecewise multiplier method which requires weaker geometrical conditions on the damping domain. In chapter 3, we will discuss the multiplier method as well as the geometrical conditions in more details. (see 3.1)

First, we study the case of a linear damping (case $g=I d$ ) with $a=1$ on $\Omega$, which is treated by introducing a perturbed energy functional.

Then, we treat the localized linear damping case using the multiplier method (cf. [1 and [7) in higher dimensions and redo the proof of exponential stability in the one dimensional case with the possible simplifications.
Next, we move to the nonlinear case with a unitary damping coefficient $a=1$, exponential stability along strong solutions has been proved by P. Martinez and J. Vancostenoble [8] using only one multiplier with Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Finally, we try to generalize their results based on the remark in [9] by adapting their proof to a localized nonlinear damping, we try to treat the nonlinarity their way, and the localization the way used in the linear case.

## Chapter 2

## Background Material

## Theorem 2.1 Density result [2]

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ of $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.2 Green's Formula [Q]

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of boundary $\Gamma$ and $u, v: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that : u $\in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ then we have the following Green's Formula :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\Delta u) v d x=\int_{\Gamma} v(\nabla u) \cdot \nu d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector for $\Gamma$ and $d \Gamma$ is the surface measure on $\Gamma$.
We prove using the density result in theorem 2.1 that the formula stays valid for all $u \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ and $v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\Delta u) v d x=\int_{\Gamma} \gamma_{0} v\left(\nabla \gamma_{0} u\right) \cdot \nu d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}$ is the trace linear continuous function and is defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{0}: \quad H^{1}(\Omega) & \longrightarrow L^{2}(\Gamma) \\
u & \longmapsto \gamma_{0} u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n / \Gamma} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is the density sequence from 2.1

## Theorem 2.3 Fubini-Tonelli

if $X$ and $Y$ are two open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}$ respectively, and if $f: X \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function,
then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}\left(\int_{Y}|f(x, y)| d y\right) d x=\int_{Y}\left(\int_{X}|f(x, y)| d x\right) d y=\int_{X \times Y}|f(x, y)| d(x, y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides if any one of the three integrals :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X}\left(\int_{Y}|f(x, y)| d y\right) d x \\
& \int_{Y}\left(\int_{X}|f(x, y)| d x\right) d y \\
& \quad \int_{X \times Y}|f(x, y)| d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite; then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}\left(\int_{Y} f(x, y) d y\right) d x=\int_{Y}\left(\int_{X} f(x, y) d x\right) d y=\int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) d(x, y) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.4 (Rellich-Kondrachov) [2]
Suppose $\Omega$ a $C^{1}$ bounded openset of $R^{N}(N \geq 1)$, then we have :

- if $\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{N}$ then $W_{p}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in\left[1, p^{*}[\right.$,
- if $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{N}$ then $W_{p}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in[p,+\infty[$,
- if $\mathbf{p}>\mathbf{N}$ then $W_{p}^{1}(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Which compact injections.
Where

$$
p^{*}=\frac{p N}{N-p}
$$

## Some important inequalities :

## Theorem 2.5 Young's inequality [2]

If $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative real numbers and $p$ and $q$ are real numbers greater than 1 such that $1 / p+1 / q=$ 1, then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \leq \frac{a^{p}}{p}+\frac{b^{q}}{q} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.6 Hölder's inequality [2]

 If $\Omega$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, f, g: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ where $p, q \in[1,+\infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$; then we have the Hölder's inequality :$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(x) g(x)| \mathrm{d} x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|g(x)|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.7 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Under the hypotheses of Hölder's inequality (theorem 2.6) and in the special case of $p=q=2$ we obtain the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(x) g(x)| \mathrm{d} x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|g(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.8 Poincaré inequality [2]
Let $p$, so that $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\Omega$ a subset with at least one bound. Then there exists a constant $C(\Omega)$, depending only on $\Omega$ and $p$, so that, for every function $u$ of the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ of zero-trace functions we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.9 Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality [10]
Let $1 \leq r<p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq p$ and $m \geq 0$. Then the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{p} \leq C\|v\|_{m, q}^{\theta}\|v\|_{r}^{1-\theta} \quad \text { for } \quad v \in W^{m, q} \cap L^{r} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some constant $C>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{m}{N}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $0<\theta \leq 1(0<\theta<1$ if $p=\infty$ and $m q=N)$ and $\|.\|_{p}$ denotes the usual $L^{p}(\Omega)$ norm and $\|\cdot\|_{m, q}$ the norm in $W^{m, q}(\Omega)$

## Maximal monotone operators and some properties :

Consider the following evolutionary problem :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
U^{\prime}+A U=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}  \tag{2.13}\\
U(0)=U^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $A: D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an operator (non necessarily linear) and $\mathcal{H}$ is a real Hilbert space.

## Definition 2.1 Maximal monotone operator

We say that $A$ is a maximal monotone operator if the following two properties are satisfied :

- $A$ is monotone :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A U-A V, U-V\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0, \quad \forall U, V \in D(A) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $I+A$ is surjective :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}(I+A)=\mathcal{H} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.10 [6]

Let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then for every $U^{0} \in \overline{D(A)}$, the problem 2.13) has a unique solution :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathcal{H}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $U^{0} \in D(A)$, then the regularity of the solution is higher and we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathcal{H}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 3

## Statement of the problem

We consider the following problem, which is the nonlinear damped wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{3.1}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where, $\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
$g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an increasing $C^{1}$ function,
$a: \quad \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function that satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq 0 \text { on } \Omega \text { and } a \geq a_{0}>0 \text { on } \omega \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ is a real constant.

## Remark 3.1

When $\omega=\Omega$, the damping is effective everywhere in $\Omega$ and it's said to be globally distributed.
When $\omega \subsetneq \Omega$, the damping is localized in $\omega$ and it's said to be locally distributed.

Definition 3.1 -neighborhood
Let $O$ be a subset of $\bar{\Omega}$, the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $O$ (denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}(O)$ ) is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}(O)=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, O) \leq \epsilon .\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\operatorname{dist}(x, O)=\inf _{y \in O}|x-y|$.

### 3.1 Geometrical conditions

We should keep in mind that the geometry of the domain $\Omega$ is very imporant in our study, thus, its size and localization play a significant role in the stabilization and the control of the wave-like equations. In
our case, and for the damped wave equation, what really makes a difference is the region in which the feedback is effective, the region which we denoted $\omega$ when we introduced the problem, and to be able to prove the stability, the exponential one in particular, we need to impose some geometrical conditions on $\omega$, previous results ( 7 and [1] for instance) proved exponential energy decay in the case of $\omega$ satisfying the following condition which we will denote ( $G C 1$ ):

There exists an observation point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ for which $\omega$ contains an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \partial \Omega,\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector for $\partial \Omega$


The problem here is handled by the multiplier method. But in the case where ( $G C 1$ ) doesn't hold (an example would be when $a$ vanishes in the two neighbohoods of the two poles of a ball) we introduce a piecewise multiplier method to treat such a case, using a weaker geometrical condition ( $G C 2$ ) where we consider multiple distinct observation points $x^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, j=1, \ldots, J$ and disjoint $\Omega_{j}$ of $\Omega, j=1, \ldots, J$ and we define :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}\left(x^{j}\right)=\left\{x \in \partial \Omega_{j},\left(x-x^{j}\right) \cdot \nu_{j}(x) \geq 0\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{j}$ stands for the unit outward normal vector to $\partial \Omega_{j}$ The ( $G C 2$ ) is defined as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \supset \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_{j}\left(x^{j}\right) \cup\left(\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \Omega_{j}\right)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Well-posedness

Theorem 3.1 Given $\left(\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)\right.$, the probelm 4.35) has a unique solution :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, the probelm 3.1) has a unique solution :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that the energy of the solution is given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it defines a natural norm on the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.
proof.
We rewrite the problem as an evolutionary problem.
Define the operator :

$$
\begin{aligned}
A: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) & \longrightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \\
(u, v) & \longmapsto(v, \Delta u-a g(v))
\end{aligned}
$$

With domain :

$$
\begin{gather*}
D(A)=\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .  \tag{3.10}\\
U=\binom{u}{u_{t}}
\end{gather*}
$$

The problem 3.1 becomes :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
U^{\prime} & =A U .  \tag{3.11}\\
U(0) & =\binom{u^{0}}{u^{1}} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We apply theorem 2.10, we prove that $-A$ is a maximal monotone operator on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.
We prove the two points of definition 2.1 of a maximal monotone operator:

- Let $U_{1}, U_{2} \in D(-A)=D(A)$ and we prove that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-A) U_{1}-(-A) U_{2}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $U_{1}=\binom{u_{1}}{v_{1}}, U_{2}=\binom{u_{2}}{v_{2}}$, then

$$
\left\langle(-A) U_{1}-(-A) U_{2}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)}=\left\langle A U_{2}-A U_{1}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

We have :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A U_{2}-A U_{1}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& =\left\langle\binom{ v_{2}-v_{1}}{\Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+a(x)\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right)},\binom{u_{1}-u_{2}}{v_{1}-v_{2}}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& =\left\langle v_{2}-v_{1}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+a(x)\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right), v_{1}-v_{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right) \nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

On another hand, using an integration by part, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \nabla\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.13) with (3.14) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A U_{2}-A U_{1}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since $a$ is non-negative, and $g$ is increasing (which means $\left.\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \geq 0\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A U_{2}-A U_{1}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left(g\left(v_{1}\right)-g\left(v_{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) d x \geq 0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $A$ is monotone.

- Now we prove that $-A+I$ is surjective:

Let $Y=\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, we prove that there exists $X=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \in D(A)$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-A+I) X=Y \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{-x_{2}+x_{1}}{-\Delta x_{1}+a g\left(x_{2}\right)+x_{2}}=\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We pose $v=x_{2}$, and we replace $x_{1}$ by $y_{1}+x_{2}$ in the second line, (3.18) becomes:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
v & =x_{1}-y_{1}  \tag{3.19}\\
-\Delta v+v+a g(v) & =y_{2}+\Delta y_{1}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We start by finding $x_{2}=v$, and then $x_{1}$ is determined by $x_{1}=x_{2}+y_{1}$. Since $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ it satisfies then the following system :

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta v+v+a g(v)=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.20}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $f=y_{2}+\Delta y_{1}$. The goal is to prove the existence of the solution of 3.20 which means the existence of $x_{2}$. We are going to use a variational approach:
We multiply the first equation of 3.20 by a test function $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we integrate on $\Omega$ and then we integrate by part, we obtain the weak formulation of the problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v \phi d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x+\int_{\Omega} a g(v) \phi d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x=0 \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(v)=\int_{0}^{v} g(s) d s \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy functional is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} a G(v) d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $J$ has a minimum, and this minimum will be the solution of the variational formulation. (we refer to [5] for more background material about minimization of energy functional)

First of all, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v) \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} a G(v) d x-\|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is increasing, $G$ is non-negative.
By that and Poincaré inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v) \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-C| | \nabla v \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(\Omega)\|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$ We pose $\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=X$ and we study the function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \longmapsto x^{2}-C x \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we find that it is bounded from below, which means that $J$ is bounded from below and therefore the existence of an infimum $m$.
By passing to the limit in 3.25 we deduce that $J$ is coercive.

Remark 3.2 By 'coercive' here, we mean that $\lim J(v)_{\|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \longrightarrow+\infty}=+\infty$.

Now we prove that $m$ is actually a minimum. Let $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence of $J$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n} J\left(v_{n}\right)=m=\inf _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} J(v) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} a G(v) d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J$ is coercive $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ is forced to be bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ (if we suppose it's not, then it has a subsequence also denoted by $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ that goes to infinity in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we apply $J$ to $v_{n}$, and we pass to the limit, on one hand, the limit is $m$ because of 3.27 , on the other hand it's infinity because of 3.25 , which is absurd, and hence $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Due to reflexivity of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, there exists a subsequence of $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ where $\rightharpoonup$ denotes the weak convergence.

Now since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \leq \liminf _{n} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark $3.3(3.29$ is a consequence of Banach-Steinhaus theorem (see [2] for proof)
From Rellich-Kondrachov theorem (theorem 2.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with compact injection.
Since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ then it converges strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, which means :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x=\lim _{n} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

And also it has a subsequence that converges to $v$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$.
Then, Fatou's lemma and the continuity of G give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a G(v) d x=\int_{\Omega} \liminf _{n} a G\left(v_{n}\right) d x \leq \liminf _{n} \int_{\Omega} a G(v) d x \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand, since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f v d x=\lim _{n} \int_{\Omega} f v_{n} d x \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.29), (3.31) (3.33) and (3.32) imply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\lim _{n} J\left(v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} v_{n}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} a G\left(v_{n}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f v_{n} d x\right) \geq J(v) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf _{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} J(z) \leq J(v) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.34) and 3.35 we obtain that $J(v)=m$ Which proves the existence of the solution of 3.20 and hence, the existence of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, which means that $-A+I$ is surjective.

Finally, $-A$ is a maximal monotone operator and theorem 3.1 is proved.

### 3.3 Some stability tools

## Definition 3.2 Strong stability

(3.1) is said to be strongly stable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } t \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition 3.3 Exponential stability

(3.1) is said to be exponentially stable if there exists two constants $\gamma, C>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C E(0) e^{-\gamma t} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition 3.4 Uniform exponential stability

(3.1) is said to be uniformly exponentially stable if there exists two constants $\gamma, C>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C E(0) e^{-\gamma t} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C$ is independent of initial data $u^{0}$ and $u^{1}$

Theorem 3.2 Gronwall [1]
Let $E[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a non-increasing function satisfying; for some $T>0$, the linear Gronwall inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{+\infty} E(s) d s \leq T E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, E satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{T}}, \quad \forall \geq 0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Define :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\int_{t}^{+\infty} E(s) d s, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ as defined above satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f^{\prime}(t)+f(t) \leq 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives after variables separation and integration :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \exp \left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \leq f(0)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} E(s) d s \leq T E(0) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{+\infty} E(s) d s \leq T E(0) e^{-\frac{t}{T}} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since $E$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T E(t) \leq \int_{t-T}^{t} E(s) d s \leq \int_{t-T}^{+\infty} E(s) d s \leq T E(0) e^{-\frac{t-T}{T}} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 4

## Case of the linear damped wave

## equation

### 4.1 Case of a globally distributed damping with unitary damping coefficient

The problem becomes :

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+u^{\prime}=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+},  \tag{4.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\ u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} . & \end{cases}
$$

### 4.1.1 An equivalent norm

We consider the following quantity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}(t)=E(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to prove that $E_{\epsilon}(t)$ is an equivalent norm to the natural norm on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, i.e. $E(t)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\epsilon}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x \\
& \leq E(t)+\epsilon\|u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality) }  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leq E(t)+\epsilon C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { (Poincaré inequality), }  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq E(t)+\epsilon C(\Omega)\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \quad \text { (Young inequality) }  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon C(\Omega)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon C(\Omega))\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon C(\Omega)) E(t) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

That's one inequality, and for the other we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\epsilon}(t) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|u u^{\prime}\right| d x  \tag{4.9}\\
& \geq E(t)-\epsilon\|u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality), }  \tag{4.10}\\
& \geq E(t)-\epsilon C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { (Poincaré inequality), }  \tag{4.11}\\
& \geq E(t)-\epsilon C(\Omega)\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \quad \text { (Young inequality), }  \tag{4.12}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon C(\Omega)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2},  \tag{4.13}\\
E_{\epsilon}(t) & \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon C(\Omega)) E(t) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We can see clearly that $(1-\epsilon C(\Omega))>0$ for $\epsilon$ small enough. Hence, the equivalence between the two norms with $\epsilon<1 / C(\Omega)$.

### 4.1.2 Exponential stability

We start by proving the following inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-C E_{\epsilon}(t) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant to be defined later on.
We have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\prime} \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply the first equation of (4.1) by $u_{t}$ and we intergrate, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime} d x-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u u^{\prime} d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part and using the fact that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime} d x-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\prime} \nabla u d x=-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 4.16 and 4.18, we obtain :

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)=E^{\prime}(t)+\epsilon \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting by the term $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right)=\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x+\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime \prime} d x . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply the first equation of (4.1) by $u$ and we intergrate, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime \prime} u d x-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u u d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} u d x=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part and using the fact that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime \prime} u d x=-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} u d x . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going back to 4.20 and using the results we just proved, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right)=\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x+-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} u d x . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going back to 4.19 now :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) & =E^{\prime}(t)+\epsilon \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right) \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} u d x \\
& \leq-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\epsilon\|u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\epsilon C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}, \\
& \leq(\epsilon-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x-\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\epsilon \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\epsilon-1+\epsilon \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x  \tag{4.24}\\
& \leq-\min \left(1-\epsilon-\epsilon \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) E(t),  \tag{4.25}\\
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\frac{2}{1+\epsilon C(\Omega)} \min \left(1-\epsilon-\epsilon \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) E_{\epsilon}(t) . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-C E_{\epsilon}(t) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $C=C(\epsilon)=\min \left(1-\epsilon-\epsilon \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$

This estimate is valid when choosing $\epsilon$ small enough such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\epsilon\left(1+\frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}\right)>0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon<\frac{2}{2+C(\Omega)^{2}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combinining this estimate with the previous estimate of $\epsilon$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon<\min \left(\frac{2}{2+C(\Omega)^{2}}, \frac{1}{C(\Omega)}\right)=\epsilon_{0} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon_{0}\left[\right.$, for example $\epsilon=\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{2}$ Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-C E_{\epsilon}(t) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gronwall's lemma applied to 4.31 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\epsilon}(t) \leq E_{\epsilon}(0) \exp (-C t) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

And then using the equivalence between $E(t)$ and $E_{\epsilon}(t)$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon C(\Omega)) E(t) \leq E_{\epsilon}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon C(\Omega)) E(0) \exp (-C t) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq \frac{1+\epsilon C(\Omega)}{1-\epsilon C(\Omega)} E(0) \exp (-C t) \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which proves the exponential decay of the energy of the solutions of 4.1).

### 4.2 Case of a locally distributed damping

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+a(.) u^{\prime}=0 & \operatorname{in} \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\prime}  \tag{4.35}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 4.2.1 Case of one observation point

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that the geometrical condition (GC1) holds, then the energy $E$ of a solution $u$ of 4.35 with $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following estimate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} E(s) d s \leq C_{1} E(t)+C_{2} \int_{t}^{T}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}+\int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d s \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are positive constants.

## Proof.

We are going to prove the theorem for $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and then with a density argument we will conclude the result for all initial conditions in $H_{0}^{1} \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Let $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
We start by proving the following important lemma :

Lemma $4.1 t \longmapsto E(t)$ is nonincreasing on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

## Proof.

Multiplying the first equation of 4.35 by $u^{\prime}$ and we integrate on $\Omega$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+a(x) u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x=0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part using Green's formula given by 2.3 and the fact that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ give :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u u^{\prime} d x=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u^{\prime} d x \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.37 and 4.38 with some changes, we obtain the following dissipation relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right) d x=E^{\prime}=-\int_{\Omega} a(x) u^{2} d x \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating between some arbitrary $T, S \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $S<T$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(x) u^{\prime 2} d x d t \leq 0 \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma.
Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an observation point and $\epsilon_{0}<\epsilon_{1}<\epsilon_{2}<\epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ is the same defined in 3.1 and let us define $Q_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$. as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}=\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_{i}}\left[\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$



Since $\bar{\Omega} \backslash Q_{1} \cap \overline{Q_{0}}=\emptyset$ we can define a function $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \psi \leq 1  \tag{4.42}\\
\psi=1 \text { on } \bar{\Omega} \backslash Q_{1} \\
\psi=0 \text { on } Q_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

And we define the $C^{1}$ vector field $h$ on $\Omega$ as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\psi(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

- First multiplier : h. $\nabla \mathbf{u}$

This multiplier is going to help us to treat the boundary terms, as $\psi$ is null on $Q_{0}$ we are sure that we are away from $\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)$ and this will lead us to inequality 4.70), and through this multiplier, the geometrical conditions start to make sense and we see why we needed $\omega$ to contain that part of the boundary.

Lemma 4.2 Under the hypotheses of theorem 4.1) we have the following identity :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} h . \nabla u+\frac{1}{2}(h . \nu)\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right)\right) d \Gamma d t=\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T} \\
+ & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u\right) d x d t \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector for $\Gamma$ and $\rho: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function proof.

We multiply the first equation of 4.45 by the multiplier $h . \nabla u$ and we integrate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

we start treating each term seperately:
Using an integration by part with respect to $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} h \cdot \nabla u u^{\prime \prime} d t=\left[h . \nabla u u^{\prime}\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} h \cdot \nabla u^{\prime} u^{\prime} d t . \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We integrate on $\Omega$ we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \int_{S}^{T} h . \nabla u u^{\prime \prime} d t d x=\int_{\Omega}\left[h . \nabla u u^{\prime}\right]_{S}^{T} d x-\int_{\Omega} \int_{S}^{T} h . \nabla u^{\prime} u^{\prime} d t d x . \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fubini-Tonelli Theorem (Theorem 2.3 allows us to exchange the integrals.
We obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u u^{\prime \prime} d x d t=\left[\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{\Omega} \int_{S}^{T} h . \nabla u^{\prime} u^{\prime} d t d x \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}} u^{\prime} d x=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\partial x_{i}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma} h_{i} \nu_{i}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d \Gamma-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}(h . \nu)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d \Gamma-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{divh}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.48) and 4.49) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u u^{\prime \prime} d x d t=\left[\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Gamma}(h . \nu)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d \Gamma d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} d i v h\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moving now to the term $-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u \Delta u d x d t$, we have:

$$
-\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u \Delta u d x=-\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x
$$

An integration by part with respect to $x$ justified by Green's formula 2.3 gives :

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u \Delta u d x & =-\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma} h_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} \nu_{k} d \Gamma+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(h_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x \\
& =-\int_{\Gamma} h . \nabla u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d \Gamma+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x \tag{4.51}
\end{align*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} h_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma} h_{i}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} \nu_{i} d \Gamma-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}(h . \nu)|\nabla u|^{2} d \Gamma d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} d i v h|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining 4.51 and 4.52 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} h . \nabla u \Delta u d x=-\int_{\Gamma} h . \nabla u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d \Gamma+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}(h . \nu)|\nabla u|^{2} d \Gamma-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} d i v h|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 4.50, 4.53) and plugging them into 4.45 we obtain 4.44
Hence, the proof of the lemma.

Since $\psi$ vanishes on $Q_{0}$ then only the term on $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)$ is non-vanishing we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} h . \nabla u+\frac{1}{2}(h . \nu)\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d \Gamma=\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} h . \nabla u+\frac{1}{2}(h . \nu)\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right)\right) d \Gamma . \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand and since $u=0$ on $\Gamma$ we have : $\nabla u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \nu$ and $u^{\prime}=0$ on $\Gamma$
Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} h \cdot \nabla u+\frac{1}{2}(h \cdot \nu)\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right)\right) d \Gamma & =\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} h \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \nu-\frac{1}{2}(h \cdot \nu)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}\right) d \Gamma \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left((h \cdot \nu)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(h \cdot \nu)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}\right) d \Gamma \\
& =\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\psi \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2} d \Gamma \tag{4.55}
\end{align*}
$$

And since $\left(x-x_{0}\right) . \nu(x) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)$ then $\left(x-x_{0}\right) . \nu<0$ on $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)$, therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\psi \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nu\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2} d \Gamma \leq 0 . \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this result on 4.44 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u\right) d x d t \leq 0 \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

And again, using the fact that $\psi$ vanishes on $Q_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u\right) d x d t \leq 0 \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now the fact that $\psi=1$ on $\Omega \backslash Q_{1}$ as well as the identity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\psi\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)=\nabla \psi \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\psi \operatorname{div}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

With :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=N \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{0}} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \quad=\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} N\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{Q_{1} \backslash Q_{0}} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x . \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have, also using the fact that $\psi=1$ on $\Omega \backslash Q_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{0}} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x+\int_{Q_{1} \backslash Q_{0}} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x . \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 4.61, 4.62, 4.63 with 4.58 we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u d x d t} \\
& \leq-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \backslash Q_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x d t . \tag{4.64}
\end{align*}
$$

And because $h$ vanishes on $Q_{0}$ we can write :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u d x d t} \\
& -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x d t . \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h$ is at least a $C^{1}$ vector field and has clearly a compact support then its components are bounded
as well as their first partial derivitives, we shall then find a constant $C_{h}$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { divh } \mid \leq C_{h} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{\infty}<C_{h} \quad \text { for all } i, k=1, \ldots, N \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can take for example $C_{h}=N \sup _{i, k}\left\|\frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{\infty}$. Then we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(\frac{C_{h}}{2}\left|\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right|+C_{h} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|\right) d x \tag{4.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Young inequality implies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right| d x \leq \frac{C_{h}}{2} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2}\right) d x=\int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega} C_{h} N|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 4.68 and 4.67) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{divh}\left(u^{\prime 2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial h_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x \leq \frac{C_{h}}{2}(2 N+1) \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant $C_{3}=\frac{C_{h}}{2}(2 N+1)$ depending on $h$ only (therefore on $\psi$ and $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ such that :

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T} } & +\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{4.70}
\end{align*}
$$

## - second multiplier : $\frac{(\mathrm{N}-1) \mathrm{u}}{2}$

This multiplier is gonna help us to absorb the negativity of $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}$ in 4.70 since we are going to combine the results of this multiplier with the previous one. As a result, we will get the expression of the energy on one side and move the other terms on the other side and this way, we will get rid of every integral on $\Omega \backslash Q_{1}$ which will be useful since we want to localize things on $\omega$ where we can handle things better and make estimations since $a>a_{0}$ on $\omega$.

Lemma 4.3 Under the hypotheses of theorem 4.1) we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{N-1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\frac{N-1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-u^{\prime 2}+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) u\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

We multiply the first equation of 4.35 by the multiplier $\frac{(N-1) u}{2}$ and we integrate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(N-1) u}{2}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $t$ gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2} u^{\prime \prime} u d x d t=\frac{N-1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\frac{N-1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

And an integration by part with respect to $x$ taking in consideration the fact that $u=0$ on $\Gamma$ gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2} \Delta u u d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2} d x . \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the two results and plugging them into 4.72) we obtain 4.71.

Now going back to the proof of the theorem :

- Combining the results of the first two multipliers :

Setting $M(u)=h . \nabla u+\frac{N-1}{2} u$ and adding 4.71 to 4.70 we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h . \nabla u d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) h . \nabla u d x d t} \\
& \left.+\frac{N-1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\frac{N-1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-u^{\prime 2}+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) u\right)\right) d x d t \leq C_{3} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{4.75}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}}
\end{gather*}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t+\quad \begin{array}{rl}
2 & N-1 \\
& \left.+\frac{N}{S} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-u^{\prime 2}\right)\right) d x d t \leq C_{3} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{4.76}
\end{array}
$$

(4.77) implies :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(N u^{\prime 2}+(2-N)|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right) d x & \left.+\frac{N-1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-u^{\prime 2}\right)\right) d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{N}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}} u^{\prime 2} d x+\left(\frac{N}{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{4.78}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.78) with 4.76) give :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t+} \\
& \leq-C_{3} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(\left(\frac{N}{2}-1\right) u^{\prime 2}+\left(\frac{N}{2}-2\right)|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exists a constant $C_{3}$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \leq C_{3} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t-\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\rho\left(x, u^{\prime}\right)=a(x) u^{\prime}$ in this case.

- Estimating the right side terms of 4.79:

Now we try to estimate the right terms of 4.79,
Estimating $\left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d x}\right]_{S}^{\mathbf{T}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right|=\left|\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime}\left(h \cdot \nabla u+\frac{N-1}{2} u\right) d x\right| \\
&=\left|\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} h \cdot \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2} u u^{\prime} d x\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|\left|h_{i}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right| d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2}|u|\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x  \tag{4.80}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|\left|h_{i}\right|\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right| d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2}|u|\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x  \tag{4.81}\\
&\left|\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right| \leq \frac{A}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{N-1}{2}\left(|u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x \quad \text { (Young inequality) }  \tag{4.82}\\
& \leq\left.\frac{A N}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{A}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x+\frac{N-1}{2} C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{N-1}{2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{4.83}\\
& \leq \frac{N(A+1)-1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{(N-1) C(\Omega)+A}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \tag{4.84}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\sup _{i}\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{\infty}$. Hence, there exists a positive constant $C_{4}=\max (N(A+1)-1,(N-1) C(\Omega)+A)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(t)\right| \leq \frac{C_{4}}{2} E(t) \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(t)\right]_{S}^{T}\right| & =\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(T)-\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(S)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(T)\right|+\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right)(S)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C_{4}}{2} E(T)+\frac{C_{4}}{2} E(S) \tag{4.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the result of lemma 4.40 which states that the energy is nonincreasing, we have $E(T) \leq E(S)$ and then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq C_{4} E(S) \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating the term $\int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., \mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d x d t}$ :
We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t\right| & =\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\left(\nabla u . h+\frac{N-1}{2} u\right) d x d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u . h\right| d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{N-1}{2} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young inequality :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u . h\right| d x d t+\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{N-1}{2} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x \leq & \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{A}{\sqrt{\delta}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)(\sqrt{\delta}|\nabla u|) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{(N-1) C(\Omega)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{\delta}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{C(\Omega)^{2}}|u|\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{A^{2}}{2 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{(N-1)^{2} C(\Omega)^{2}}{8 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{\delta}{2 C(\Omega)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x \tag{4.88}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u=0$ on $\Gamma$, Poincare inequality and 4.88 imply that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x\right| & \leq\left(\frac{A^{2}}{2 \delta}+\frac{(N-1)^{2} C(\Omega)^{2}}{8 \delta}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\delta \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\frac{(N-1)^{2} C(\Omega)^{2}}{8}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\delta E \tag{4.89}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore there exists a positive constant $C_{5}=\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\frac{(N-1)^{2} C(\Omega)^{2}}{8}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x\right| \leq \frac{C_{5}}{\delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\delta E \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t\right| \leq \frac{C_{5}}{\delta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t+\delta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\delta>0$ is an arbitrary positive real number to be chosen later.

## Left to estimate the term $\int_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\Omega \cap \mathrm{Q}_{1}}|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{2} \mathrm{dxdt}$ :

To estimate this term we are going to use two multipliers.

Since $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Q_{2}} \cap \overline{Q_{2}}=\emptyset$ there exists a function $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \xi \leq 1  \tag{4.92}\\
\xi=1 \text { on } Q_{1} \\
\xi=0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Q_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## - Third multiplier : $\xi \mathbf{u}$

To estimate the term $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t$, it is natural to think of using this multiplier, $u$ is going to lead to $\nabla u$ after integration by part, and $\xi$ being null outside $Q_{2}$ is going to keep us localized in $\omega$. However, this multiplier will not fully estimate the term we want to estimate, and it will create another term to be estimated, which will require another multiplier.

Lemma 4.4 Under the hypotheses of theorem 4.1) with $\xi$ as defined above we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t= & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \xi u^{2} d x d t  \tag{4.93}\\
& -\left[\int_{\Omega} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi u \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

We multiply the first equation of 4.35 by $\xi u$ and we integrate, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi u\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $t$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \xi u u^{\prime \prime} d x=\left[\xi u u^{\prime}\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \xi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $x$ gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} \xi u \Delta u d x & =\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\xi u) \cdot \nabla u d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} u \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla u d x+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{4.96}
\end{align*}
$$

And another integration by part with respect to $x$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla\left(|u|^{2}\right) d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \xi\left(|u|^{2}\right) d x \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging 4.97) into 4.96, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi u \Delta u d x d t=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \xi\left(|u|^{2}\right) d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

And by plugging (4.98) and 4.95 into (4.94) we obtain 4.93:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t= & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \xi u^{2} d x d t \\
& -\left[\int_{\Omega} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi u \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma.

Now since $\xi$ vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Q_{2}$ and $\xi=1$ on $Q_{1}$ with $\xi \leq 1,4.93$ becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|\Delta \xi| u^{2} d x d t \\
& +\left|\left[\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right|+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right| d x d t \tag{4.99}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right| d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x \tag{4.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u u^{\prime}\right| d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \max \left(C(\Omega)^{2}, 1\right) E \tag{4.101}
\end{align*}
$$

4.99, 4.100, 4.101 with 4.40 we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq 2 \max \left(C(\Omega)^{2}, 1\right) E(S) \tag{4.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ there exists a constant $B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\Delta \xi| & \leq B  \tag{4.103}\\
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|\Delta \xi| u^{2} d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} B u^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Which gives :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \leq & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2}(B+1) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \\
& +2 \max \left(C(\Omega)^{2}, 1\right) E(S)+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, there exists two constants $C_{6}=\max \left(1, \frac{1}{2}(B+1)\right), C_{7}=2 \max \left(C(\Omega)^{2}, 1\right)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \leq C_{6} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+u^{2}+\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x d t+C_{7} E(S) \tag{4.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating the term $\int_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cap \mathbf{Q}_{2}} \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{d x d t}$ inside 4.105
Since $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \omega} \cap \overline{Q_{2}}=\emptyset$, there exists a function $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \beta \leq 1  \tag{4.106}\\
\beta=1 \text { on } Q_{2} \\
\beta=0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

We fix $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and we consider the solution $z$ of the following problem :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta z=\beta u \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.107}\\
z=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

To do our estimations we are going to use the last multiplier:

- Fourth multiplier : $\quad \mathbf{z}$ This multiplier is used to treat the term $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t$, it is the solution to the above elliptic equation, which will make it satisfy the properties bellow (inequalities that will estimate its norm using the norm of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$, no term of the type that contains $\nabla u$ will appear in the calculus.

Lemma $4.5 z$ as defined above, satisfies the following estimates :

$$
\begin{align*}
\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}  \tag{4.108}\\
\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} & \leq C^{\prime \prime} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \tag{4.109}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

We first multiply the first equation of 4.107 by $z$ and we integrate on $\Omega$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} z \Delta z d x=\int_{\Omega} \beta u z d x \tag{4.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

After integration by part

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} \beta u z d x \tag{4.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\beta u z| d x \tag{4.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Poincaré inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C(\Omega)^{2}}\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)^{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which proves 4.108 with $C^{\prime}=C(\Omega)^{2}$.
Now deriving the two equations of 4.107 with respect to $t$ we see that $z^{\prime}$ satisfies :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta z^{\prime}=\beta u^{\prime} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.116}\\
z^{\prime} & =0
\end{align*} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .\right.
$$

Multiplying the first equation of 4.116 by $z^{\prime}$, integrating on $\Omega$ and then inegrating by part

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} \beta u^{\prime} z^{\prime} d x \tag{4.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\beta u^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right| d x \tag{4.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq\left\|\beta u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \mid\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Poincaré inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)^{2}\left\|\beta u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives using the fact that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} z^{\prime 2} d x \leq C(\Omega)^{4} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{\prime 2} d x \tag{4.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which proves 4.109 with $C^{\prime \prime}=C(\Omega)^{4}$

Lemma 4.6 Under the hypotheses of theorem 4.1) and with $z$ as defined above, we have the following identity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x d t=\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t \tag{4.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Multiplying the first equation of 4.35 by $z$ and we integrate, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $t$ gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} z u^{\prime \prime} d t=\left[z u^{\prime}\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d t \tag{4.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

And now two integrations by part with respect to $x$ and using first the fact that $z=0$ on $\Gamma$ and then the fact that $u=0$ on $\Gamma$ give :

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\Omega} z \Delta u d x & =\int_{\Omega} \nabla z \cdot \nabla u d x \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} \Delta z u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

And since $\Delta z=\beta u$ we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} z \Delta u d x=-\int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging 4.124 and 4.125 into 4.123) we get:

$$
\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x d t-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) d x d t=0
$$

Which proves the lemma and gives 4.122

Using the fact that $\beta=1$ on $Q_{2}$ and 0 on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \omega$, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega \backslash Q_{2}} \beta u^{2} d x d t=\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t \tag{4.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives, since $\beta \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \leq\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t \tag{4.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate the terms on the right :

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right| \leq\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega} . \tag{4.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Using the estimate 4.108 we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right| \leq C^{\prime} \mid\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega} \tag{4.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Young inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right| \leq C^{\prime} \frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega}^{2}\right)=C^{\prime} E \tag{4.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| & \leq\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right)(T)-\left(\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right)(S)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right)(T)\right|-\left|\left(\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right)(S)\right| \\
& \leq C^{\prime} E(T)+C^{\prime} E(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

And using 4.40 which is the fact that the energy is nonincreasing we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq 2 C^{\prime} E(S) \tag{4.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t\right| & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|z^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right| d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right| d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}}\left|z^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\sqrt{\eta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{C^{\prime} C(\Omega)}|z|\right)\left(\frac{C^{\prime} C(\Omega)}{\sqrt{\eta}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) d x d t \tag{4.132}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young inequality :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t\right| & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& +\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{2 C(\Omega)^{2} C^{2}}|z|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{2}}{2 \eta}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.133}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using the estimates 4.108 and 4.109 we obtain with Poincare inequality :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t\right| & \leq \frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{\eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& +\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{2} \eta}{2 C^{\prime 2} C(\Omega)^{2}} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t+\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{\prime 2}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.134}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\beta=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \omega$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ we have :

$$
\int_{\Omega} \beta\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t=\int_{\omega} \beta\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t\right| & \leq \frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{\eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \\
& +\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{\prime 2}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.135}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging 4.131 and 4.135 into 4.127) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{2}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t+2 C^{\prime} E(S) \tag{4.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists constants $C_{8}=\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{2}, C_{9}=\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C^{\prime 2}}{2}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C_{8}}{\eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{C_{9}}{\eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t+\eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+2 C^{\prime} E(S) \tag{4.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\eta$ is an arbitrary positive number.

## - Combining our estimations :

Now combining 4.137), 4.105 , 4.79, , 4.98), with the fact that $\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ and $\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq$ $\int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t & \leq\left(C_{4}+C_{7} C_{6}+2 C^{\prime} C_{6} C_{3}\right) E(S)+\left(\eta C_{3} C_{6}+\delta\right) \int_{S}^{T} E d t \\
& +\left(\frac{C_{5}}{\delta}+\frac{C_{9} C_{6} C_{3}}{\eta}+C_{6} C_{3}\right) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t+\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{6} C_{8}}{\eta}+C_{3} C_{6}+C_{3}\right) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{4.138}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose $\eta$ and $\delta$ small enough so that $\left(1-\left(\eta C_{3} C_{6}+\delta\right)\right)>0$

Which gives the existence of two positive constants :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{C_{4}+C_{7} C_{6}+2 C^{\prime} C_{6} C_{3}}{1-\eta C_{3} C_{6}+\delta} \quad, \quad C_{2}=\max \left(\frac{\frac{C_{9} C_{6} C_{3}}{\eta}+C_{6} C_{3}+C_{3}}{1-\eta C_{3} C_{6}+\delta}, \frac{\frac{C_{3} C_{6} C_{8}}{\eta}+C_{3} C_{6}}{1-\eta C_{3} C_{6}+\delta} .\right) \tag{4.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C_{1} E(S)+C_{2}\left(\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t\right) \tag{4.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since, $S$ and $T$ are taken to be arbitrary in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, fixing $T$ and taking $S=t$ prove the theorem 4.1 and give 4.36 for $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}\right) \times H_{0}^{1}$.
Now we conclude for all initial data in $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1} \times L^{2}$ using the density of $\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}\right) \times H_{0}^{1}$ in $H_{0}^{1} \times L^{2}$

## Theorem 4.2 exponential stability

Under the hypothesis of theorem 4.1. E satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C E(0) e^{-\gamma t} \tag{4.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $\gamma$ are positive constants.

## Proof.

Using the dissipation relation 4.39, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d s=-\int_{t}^{T} E^{\prime}(s) d s \leq E(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{4.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand, using (3.2) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d s \leq \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\omega} \frac{a}{a_{0}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{1}{a_{0}} E(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{4.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using the result of theorem 4.1 as well as 4.2.1 and 4.142

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} E(s) d s \leq C_{1} E(t)+C_{2}\left(\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a u^{\prime 2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t\right) \leq \frac{C_{2}}{a_{0}} E(t)+C_{2} E(t)+C_{1} E(t) \tag{4.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T} E(s) d s \leq C E(t) \tag{4.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C_{1}+C_{2}+\frac{C_{2}}{a_{0}}$.
Now by applying Gronwall inequality (theorem (3.2) ) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{-\frac{t-C}{C}} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which proves the theorem and give the exponential stability of the problem 4.35

### 4.2.2 One dimensional case

The problem to one dimensional case reduces to :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u^{\prime \prime}-u_{x x}+a(x) u^{\prime}=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{4.147}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $\Omega=] 0,1[$ with $(a<b)$ represents here an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$
The geometrical condition imposed in the damping domain $\omega$ gets simplified.
Indeed we can see that for every observation point $x_{0}$ we choose in $\mathbb{R}$ the only possibilities of $\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)$ (defined in 3.4) are :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { if } \in(-\infty, 0[, \\
\left.\left.\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \text { if } \in\right] 1,+\infty\right), \\
\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)=\{0,1\} \text { if } \in[0,1] . \tag{4.150}
\end{array}
$$



Hence, the geometrical condition (GC1) is reduced to $\omega$ containing an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $a$ or $b$ or the whole boundary.


Now we try to adapt the proof of theorem 4.1 in the one dimensional case and see the simplifications that can be made:

Let $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, let $x_{0}$ be an observation point and $0<\epsilon_{0}<\epsilon_{1}<\epsilon_{2}<\epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ is the one defined in 3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}=\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_{i}}\left[\Gamma\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \quad \text { for } \quad i=0,1,2 \tag{4.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ as defined in 4.42 And we define $h$ on $\Omega$ as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\psi(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{4.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply the first equation of 4.147 by $h u_{x}$ and we integrate, we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h u_{x}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-u_{x x}+a u^{\prime}\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $t$ then to $x$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} h u_{x} u^{\prime \prime} d t=-\int_{S}^{T} h u_{x}^{\prime} u^{\prime} d t+\left[h u_{x} u^{\prime}\right]_{S}^{T} \tag{4.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} h u_{x}^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x=-\int_{\Omega} h\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)_{x} d x=\int_{\Omega} h^{\prime} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2} d x \tag{4.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $x$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u_{x x} d x=-\int_{\Omega} h\left(\frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}\right)_{x} d x=-\left[h \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}\right]_{a}^{b}+\int_{\Omega} h^{\prime} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2} d x \tag{4.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h\left|u_{x}^{2}\right|\right]_{a}^{b}=\left(1-x_{0}\right)\left|u_{x}^{2}\right|(1)-\left(0-x_{0}\right)\left(\left|u_{x}^{2}\right|\right)(0) \leq 0 \tag{4.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 4.153, 4.154, 4.155, 4.156) and 4.157 we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left|u_{x}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) d x d t+\left[\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h a u^{\prime} u_{x} \leq 0 \tag{4.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives using 4.42 :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(\frac{\left|u_{x}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) d x d t+\left[\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T} \leq & -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}} h^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left|u_{x}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) d x d t \\
& -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h a u^{\prime} u_{x} d x d t \tag{4.159}
\end{align*}
$$

and then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}\left(1-h^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\left|u_{x}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) d x d t-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h a u^{\prime} u_{x} d x d t-\left[\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T} \tag{4.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate each term of the right side, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u^{\prime} d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}|h|\left|u_{x}\right|\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x \leq E \tag{4.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence using 4.40 we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} h u_{x} u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq 2 E(S) \tag{4.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} h a u^{\prime} u_{x} d x\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} a \frac{C(\Omega)}{C(\Omega)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|\left|u_{x}\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2 \delta}\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\delta C(\Omega)^{2}}{2}\left|u_{x}\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2 \delta}\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\delta E \tag{4.163}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h a u^{\prime} u_{x} d x d t\right| \leq \frac{C(\Omega)^{2}}{2 \delta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\delta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{4.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

Left to estimate the term $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}\left|u_{x}\right| d x d t$ for that we are going to define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \xi \leq 1  \tag{4.165}\\
\xi=1 \text { on } Q_{1} \\
\xi=0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash Q_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We multiply the first equation of 4.147 by $\xi u$ and we integrate by part, we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi\left|u_{x}\right|^{2} d x d t= & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi^{\prime \prime} u^{2} d x d t \\
& -\left[\int_{\Omega} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \xi u a u^{\prime} d x d t \tag{4.166}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ there exists a constant $B>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq B \tag{4.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding the same way we did in the multidimensional case, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}\left|u_{x}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq\left(\frac{B}{2}+1\right) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+u^{2}+\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t+2 \max \left(C(\Omega)^{2}, 1\right) E(S) \tag{4.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the function $\beta \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ as in 4.106), we fix $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and we consider the solution $z$ of the following problem :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
z_{x x}=\beta u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.169}\\
z=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

$z$ as defined above, satisfies the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}  \tag{4.170}\\
\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} & \leq C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \tag{4.171}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the first equation of 4.147 by $z$ and we integrate, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z\left(u^{\prime \prime}-u_{x x}+a(.) u^{\prime}\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

After integrations by part with respect to $t$ and $x$ we get:

$$
\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x d t-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int z a(.) u^{\prime} d x d t=0
$$

Now using the same steps we used in the multidimensional case, we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{C(\Omega)^{2} C_{1}^{\prime 2}}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+2 C^{\prime} E(S) \tag{4.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\eta$ is an arbitrary positive number.
Now combining 4.160, 4.162, (4.164, 4.173, 4.168) and choosing eta and delta small enough we get the existence of two positive constants :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1,1} \quad, \quad C_{1,2} \tag{4.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C_{1,1} E(S)+C_{1,2}\left(\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t\right) \tag{4.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

And then using Gronwall's inequality we obtain the exponential decay of the energy.

## Chapter 5

## Case of the nonlinear damped wave equation

### 5.1 Case of a globally distributed damping with unitary damping coefficient

In this section we take $a=1$ on $\Omega$ The problem becomes :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cr}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\prime}  \tag{5.1}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ an increasing function such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad g(x) x \geq 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1.1 Exponential stability

Theorem 5.1 (theorem 2. [8])
Assume $N=2$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing function of class $C^{1}$, such that $g(0)=0, g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall|x| \geq 1, \quad|g(x)| \leq c|x|^{q} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $c \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$.
Given $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}$, the energy of the solution $u(t)$ of (5.1) decays exponentially and there exists an explicit constant $\gamma>0$ depending on $u^{0}, u^{1}$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) \exp (1-\gamma t) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove first some key lemmas:

## Lemma 5.1

$\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(5.2$ is satisfied. Then we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 0 \leq S<T<+\infty, \quad E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \leq 0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Multiplying the first equation of 3.1 by $u^{\prime}$ and we integrate on $\Omega$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right) u^{\prime} d x=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part using Green's formula given by 2.3 and the fact that $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u u^{\prime} d x=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u^{\prime} d x \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.6) and 5.7 with some changes, we obtain the following dissipation relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right) d x=E^{\prime}=-\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating between some arbitrary $T, S \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $S<T$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.2) gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \leq 0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 5.2 Consider $u$ the solution of the problem with initial conditions $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}$. Denote :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right):=\left\|-\Delta u^{0}+g\left(u^{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|u^{1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geq 0,\left\|-\Delta u(t)+g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

Denote $w:=u^{\prime}$. Differentiating the three equations of 3.1 with respect to $t$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& w^{\prime \prime}-\Delta w+g^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime}=0 \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}  \tag{5.13}\\
& w=0 \text { in } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}  \tag{5.14}\\
& w(0)=u^{1}, w^{\prime}(0)=\Delta u^{0}-g\left(u^{1}\right) . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We multiply 5.13) by $w^{\prime}$ and we integrate on $\Omega \times[0, t]$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}-w^{\prime} \Delta w+g^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime 2}\right) d x d \tau=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On one hand we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime} d x d \tau=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(w^{\prime 2}\right)^{\prime} d \tau=\frac{1}{2}\left[w^{\prime 2}\right]_{0}^{t} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand using an integration by part with respect to $t$ we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega} w^{\prime} \Delta w d x=\int_{\Omega} \nabla w^{\prime} \nabla w d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{\prime} d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{\prime} d x \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.16), 5.17) and (5.18) we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} a(.) g^{\prime}(w) w^{\prime 2} d x d \tau & =\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime} d x d \tau-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \Delta w d x d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega} w^{2} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right)^{\prime} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime 2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right]_{0}^{t} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a \geq 0$ and $g^{\prime} \geq 0$ (since $g$ is increasing) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime 2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right]_{0}^{t} \leq 0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime 2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right]_{0}^{t} } & =\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime}(t)^{2}+|\nabla w(t)|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime}(0)^{2}+|\nabla w(0)|^{2}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t)^{2}+\left|\nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\Delta u^{0}-g\left(u^{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left|\nabla u^{1}\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u-g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d x-C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.21) and 5.20) we deduce 5.12):

$$
\left\|-\Delta u(t)+g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 5.3 Suppose $g$ satisfies for some positive constant $C$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \leq C|x|^{q} \quad \text { with } 1 \leq q<+\infty \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=0, g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the energy $E$ of the solution $u$ of (3.1) with $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following estimate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ are positive constants.
Proof.
We multiply the first equation of (5.1) by $u$ and we integrate on $[S, T] \times \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integration by part with respect to $x$ gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime \prime} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x d t=0 \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Treating each term seperately :

- Estimating $\int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u u}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{d x d t}$

An integration by part with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime \prime} d x=\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Young and Poincaré inequalities we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u u^{\prime}\right| d x \leq C E \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq C E(S) \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Estimating $\int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u g}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{dxdt}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ :
Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}|u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Poincaré inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} \frac{\eta}{2}|u|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C \eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ implies :
There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leq C|x| \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leq 1 \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using 5.34

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.32, 5.33 and 5.35 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1$ :
Since $N=2$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$, theorem 2.4 with $p=2, N=p$ and $q+1<+\infty$ gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q+1}(\Omega) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{E} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Holder's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}|u|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.38) and the fact that $a$ is bounded :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t  \tag{5.40}\\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using the hypothesis 5.22 we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\Omega} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the dissipation relation 5.8

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq C \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{q+1}{2}} d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} \int_{S}^{T}\left(-E^{\prime}\right) d t \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the non-increasingness of $E$ with (5.43) and (5.44), we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S) \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.36) and 5.45 we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x\right| \leq \eta\left(C+\eta^{q} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S)+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.26) and 5.27 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x d t=-\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x d t+2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now taking the absolute value of each side of (5.47) and using (5.46) and 5.29), we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq \eta\left(C+\eta^{q} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S)+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+C E(S) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choosing $\eta$ small enough we get to prove (5.24) :

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

Proof of theorem (5.1):
From lemma 5.3 we have :

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

the goal is to estimate

$$
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

Set $R>0$ and fix $t \geq 0$.
Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{1}^{t}:=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq R\right\}  \tag{5.49}\\
& \Omega_{2}^{t}:=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|u^{\prime}\right|>R\right\} \tag{5.50}
\end{align*}
$$

First, we look at the part $\Omega_{2}^{t}$ of $\Omega$ :
Using theorem 2.9 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality) with $p=3, N=2$ and $\theta=\frac{1}{3}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ that depends on $\Omega$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad\|v\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)} \leq C\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x=\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}} u^{\prime \frac{1}{2}} u^{\prime \frac{3}{2}} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x\right)^{2} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x\right)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}^{3} \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we're on $\Omega_{2}^{t}$ we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x>R \int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right| d x \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand, since we're dealing with a strong solution, we have $u^{\prime} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, we can apply 5.51) with $v=u^{\prime}$ we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}^{3} \leq C\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} E(t) \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.53, 5.55 and 5.56)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x \leq \frac{C}{R}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} E(t) \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From lemma 5.2 we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right.} \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.58 with 5.57) and integrating between $S$ and $T$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{R} \sqrt{C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)} \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

From lemma 5.3 we have :

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{2}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t
$$

using 5.59

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+\frac{C}{R} \sqrt{C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)} \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C}{R} \sqrt{C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at the part $\Omega_{1}^{t}$ of $\Omega$ :
Since $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ we can choose $r>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in[-r, r],|g(v)| \geq \alpha_{1}|v| \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for some constant $\alpha_{1}>0$.
We define then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}:=\inf \left\{\left|\frac{g(v)}{v}\right|: r \leq|v| \leq R\right\} \tag{5.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha:=\min \left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$.
We have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(v)| \geq \alpha|v| \quad \text { if } \quad|v| \leq R \tag{5.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x d t & =\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \frac{u^{\prime}}{g\left(u^{\prime}\right)} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \tag{5.66}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}} u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t=\frac{1}{\alpha}(E(S)-E(T)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} E(S) \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.67 and 5.66

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{t}} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} E(S) \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, combining 5.62 and 5.68 we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) E(S) \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

letting $T$ goes to infinity we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{\infty} E d t \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} E(S) \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{\alpha}{C(1+\alpha)}>0$.
We apply now Gronwall's inequality (Theorem 3.2) we obtain exponential decay of the energy :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\gamma t} \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2 Case of a locally distributed damping

We finally get to our initial problem (problem (3.1)) with no particular cases:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+a(.) u^{\prime}=0 & \operatorname{in} \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\prime} \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

We recall the hypothesis under which we are working :
$\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
$g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an increasing $C^{1}$ function such that 5 is satisfied,
$a: \quad \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function that satisfies (3.2), i.e.:

$$
a \geq 0 \text { on } \Omega \text { and } a \geq a_{0}>0 \text { on } \omega,
$$

where $a_{0}$ is a real constant.

The goal of this section is to prove that theorem 5.1 remains valid for a localized damping when $G C 1$ holds.

## Proposition 5.1

Theorem 5.1 remains true for problem (3.1), i.e. for a localized damping when GC1 hold.
Proof.

Remark 5.1 We are going to keep the proof in the special case where $N=2$, the lemmas are going to be considered in higher dimensions though.

## Lemma 5.4

$\Omega$ is a $C^{2}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(5.2)$ is satisfied. Then we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 0 \leq S<T<+\infty, \quad E(T)-E(S)=-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a u^{\prime} g\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \leq 0 \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Same as Lemma 5.1
Lemma 5.5 Consider $u$ the solution of the problem w.1 with initial conditions $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}$. Denote :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right):=\left\|-\Delta u^{0}+a(.) g\left(u^{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|u^{1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} . \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geq 0, \quad\left\|-\Delta u(t)+a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Same as lemma 5.1
Lemma 5.6 Suppose that the geometrical condition (GC1) holds and gatisfies for some positive constant $C$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \leq C|x|^{q} \quad \text { with } 1 \leq q \leq \frac{N+2}{\max (0, N+2)} \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=0, g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0 \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the energy $E$ of the solution $u$ of (3.1) with $\left(u^{0}, u^{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E d t \leq C E(S)+C \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\Omega} a(.)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\omega}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) d t \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ are positive constants.

## Proof.

We proceed the same way as 4.36 until we get to 4.79) :

$$
E(t) \leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t-\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho\left(., u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t
$$

Where $\rho$ in our case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(x, u^{\prime}\right)=a(x) g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means we are going to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{Q_{1} \cap \Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t-\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t \tag{5.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we estimate the right terms of 5.79):

- $\left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d x}\right]_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}}$

This term is estimated the exact same way as in 4.87), which means we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} M(u) d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq C E(S) \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bullet \int_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_{1} \cap \Omega}|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{2} \mathbf{d x d t}:$
From 4.99 we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|\Delta \xi| u^{2} d x d t \\
& +\left|\left[\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right|+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|u a(.) g(u)| d x d t \tag{5.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Estimating $\left[\int_{\Omega \cap \mathbf{Q}_{2}} \xi \mathbf{u u}^{\prime} \mathbf{d x}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathbf{T}}:$
From 4.102) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} \xi u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq C E(S) \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating $\left[\int_{\Omega_{\cap \mathbf{Q}_{2}}} \xi \mathbf{u u ^ { \prime }} \mathbf{d x}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ :
Since, $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \Delta \xi\right.$ is bounded, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}}|\Delta \xi| u^{2} d x d t \leq C \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t$ :
From 4.127 we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \leq\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(-z^{\prime} u^{\prime}+z a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right) d x d t \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.131) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[\int_{\Omega} z u^{\prime} d x\right]_{S}^{T}\right| \leq 2 C^{\prime} E(S) \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

On another hand we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime 2} d x d t+C \eta \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \tag{5.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

From lemma 4.5 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{\prime 2} d x d t+C \eta \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives, from the definition of $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} z^{\prime} u^{\prime} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\omega} u^{\prime 2} d x d t+C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d x d t \tag{5.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Left to estimate the term $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}+a() z g.\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x d t$ :
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \tag{5.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ :
Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}|z|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{5.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using lemma 4.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{2} C|u|^{2} d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} \frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Poincaré inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} C \frac{\eta}{2}|u|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C \eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{5.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ implies :
There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leq C|x| \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leq 1 \tag{5.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using 5.93

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.91, 5.92 and (5.94 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1$ :
We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q+1}(\Omega) \tag{5.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives using lemma 4.5 and Poincaré inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega} z^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's recall the equation satisfied by $z$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta z=\beta u \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5.98}\\
z=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

We multiply 5.98 by $z$, we integrate on $\Omega$ and then we integrate by part, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} \beta u z d x \tag{5.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz, lemma 4.5, the boundedness of $\beta$ and Poincaré:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} \beta u z d x \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|z\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{5.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.100 with 5.97 we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{E} \tag{5.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Holder's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}|z|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\Omega}|z|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.102}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.101) and the fact that $a$ is bounded :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t  \tag{5.103}\\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using the hypothesis 5.75 we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\Omega} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.105}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the dissipation relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq C \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.106}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{q+1}{2}} d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} \int_{S}^{T}\left(-E^{\prime}\right) d t \tag{5.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the non-increasingness of $E$ with (5.106) and 5.107, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z\right| d x d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S) \tag{5.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.95 and 5.108) we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) z d x\right| \leq \eta\left(C+\eta^{q} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S)+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a(.) u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating $\int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega \cap \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}} \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{x}) \mathbf{u g}(\mathbf{u}) \mathrm{dxdt}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} a(x) u g(u) d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(x) u g(u) d x d t \tag{5.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's gonna be practically the same proof as 5.46 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \tag{5.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ :
Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}|u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{5.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Poincaré inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} \frac{\eta}{2}|u|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C \eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{5.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ implies :
There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leq C|x| \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leq 1 \tag{5.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.114

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.112, 5.113 and 5.115 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1$ :
We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q+1}(\Omega) \tag{5.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{E} \tag{5.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Holder's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}|u|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.119}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.38 and the fact that $a$ is bounded :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t  \tag{5.120}\\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.121}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using the hypothesis 5.75 we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(\int_{\Omega} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.122}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the dissipation relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} C \sqrt{E}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \\
& \leq C \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.123}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} \int_{S}^{T} E^{\frac{q+1}{2}} d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} \int_{S}^{T}\left(-E^{\prime}\right) d t \tag{5.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the non-increasingness of $E$ with (5.124) and (5.43), we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u\right| d x d t \leq C \eta^{q+1} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S) \tag{5.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 5.116 and 5.125 we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x\right| \leq \eta\left(C+\eta^{q} E(0)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{\eta^{\frac{q+1}{q}}} E(S)+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|a(.) u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bullet \int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}(.) \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{d x d t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right) M(u) d x d t=\frac{N-1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x d t+\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a(.) g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u . h d x d t \tag{5.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already estimated the term $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a() g.\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x d t$, left to estimate :
$\int_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}(.) \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \nabla \mathbf{u} . h d x d t$
Naturally, we try to proceed the same way as estimating $\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a() g.\left(u^{\prime}\right) u d x d t$ : First we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ :
Using Young's inequality and the fact that $|h|$ is bounded

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u . h\right| d x d t \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}|\nabla u . h|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{5.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Poincaré inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} \frac{\eta}{2}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C \eta}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t \tag{5.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.128, 5.129) and 5.130 we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u\right| d x d t \leq C \eta \int_{S}^{T} E d t+\frac{C}{2 \eta} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{5.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we look at the part of $\Omega$ where $\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1$ :
We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{q+1}(\Omega) \tag{5.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{E} \tag{5.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Holder's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right) \nabla u . h\right| d x d t \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{S}^{T}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}|\nabla u|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}\left(\int_{\left|u^{\prime}\right|>1}\left|a g\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} d t \tag{5.134}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the thing is, we can't proceed the same way here, if we apply (5.133) to $\nabla u$ we are going to get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q+1}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \tag{5.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's clear that if we get to estimate the term $\int_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}(.) \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \nabla \mathbf{u} . \mathbf{h d x d t}$ the proof of lemma 5.6 is over, and we can proceed to prove exponential stability using the methods we used in the linear localized case as well as the nonlinear nonlocalized case :

- We treat the term $\int_{\Omega} a(x) u^{\prime 2} d x$ as we did in section 5.1 .
- And since $a(x)>a_{0}$ for $x \in \omega$ we have $\int_{\omega} u^{\prime 2} d x \leq \int_{\omega} \frac{a(x)}{a_{0}} u^{\prime 2} d x \leq \frac{1}{a_{0}} \int_{\Omega} a(x) u^{\prime 2} d x$ and from here, we proceed the same way we did in section 5.1 .

After the estimations we get an energy estimates to which we apply Gronwall's inequality to conclude stability.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion and perspectives

## Summary

In this work, we have studied the exponential stability of the damped wave equation, treated the linear case with both a global and local damping, we used a perturbed energy functional to treat the first case and we used the multiplier method to treat the second and we gave sufficient geometrical conditions on the damping domain for the stability to hold. Then, we briefly redid the main steps of the proof in the one dimensional case and as expected, it was significantly simplified (for instance, the use of only three multipliers instead of four). After that, we moved to the nonlinear case, we treated the equation with the global damping using the method introduced in [8] and then we tried to generalize it to the case of a localized damping, and there, we found some difficulties treating one of the terms, so up until now, what we concluded by looking at the problem is that the result will be obtained once we get to estimate the problematic term.

## Perspectives

Since our last case is not finished yet, the first perspective is to finish the last proof and study the $L^{2}$ stability of the problem. Then this research work is going to be followed by a PhD thesis, directed and supervised by professors Frédéric Jean and Yacine Chitour. The thesis will be a continuity of what we have been working on during this internship, we will consider more general feedbacks, and work in more general frameworks, since the wave theory has always been developped in a hilbertian framework, we would like to change that, as it will be useful to consider frameworks like $L^{\infty}$ to solve some arisen problems in the domain of automatic.
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